



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

(An Autonomous institution affiliated to Anna University)

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

Department of Mechanical Engineering


Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2018-19

(On Curriculum, Syllabus, Teaching-Learning and Evaluation)

Feedback Parameters

1. Is the course relevant for the program?
2. Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?
3. Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?
4. Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?
5. How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?
6. Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?
7. How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?
8. Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?
9. Is the course duration adequate?
10. Overall satisfaction

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2018-19



Ramesh Babu
HoD / ME

Dr. S. RAMESH BABU, M.E., Ph.D
Professor & Head
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering
Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (TK) - 602117
Tamilnadu, INDIA.



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2018-19
Faculty Name	Dr.M.Prem Ananth
Designation	Associate Professor
Department	Mechanical Engineering
Course Code & Course Name	GE18151-Engineering Drawing

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	5				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	5				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
Any other suggestions: Nil						

Signature

Dr.M.Prem Ananth



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2018-19
Faculty Name	M.GAJENDIRAN
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Mechanical Engineering
Course Code & Course Name	GE18151 ENGINEERING DRAWING

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			4		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			5		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?			5		
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?			4		
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?			4		
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?			4		
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?			4		
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?			4		
9.	Is the course duration adequate?			4		
10.	Overall satisfaction			5		
Any other suggestions: Nil						

Signature

M.GAJENDIRAN



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2018-19
Faculty Name	J.SIVARAMAPANDIAN
Designation	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR
Department	MECHANICAL ENGINEERING
Course Code & Course Name	ME16505 DYNAMICS OF MACHINES

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			4		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			5		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?			5		
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?			4		
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?			4		
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?			4		
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?			4		
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?			4		
9.	Is the course duration adequate?			4		
10.	Overall satisfaction			5		
Any other suggestions: Nil						

Signature

J.SIVARAMAPANDIAN



Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2018-19
Faculty Name	MUNIRAJ
Designation	ME16501- Computer Aided Design
Department	Mechanical Engineering
Course Code & Course Name	ME16501- Computer Aided Design

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	5				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	5				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
Any other suggestions: Nil						

Signature

MUNIRAJ