

(An Autonomous institution affiliated to Anna University)
Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117


### Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2018-19 (On Curriculum, Syllabus, Teaching-Learning and Evaluation)

#### Feedback Parameters

- 1. Is the course relevant for the program?
- 2. Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?
- 3. Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?
- 4. Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?
- 5. How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?
- 6. Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?
- 7. How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?
- 8. Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?
- 9. Is the course duration adequate?
- 10. Overall satisfaction

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2018-19



Dr. Hop / SEENTHA

Head of the Department

Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Srt Venketesward College of Engineering
Pennatur, Sriperomicular Talux-602 117

Tamilhadut, INDIA



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

#### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

| Academic Year | 2018-19  |
|---------------|--|
| Faculty Name  | D. Amudhavalli                                 |
| Designation   | Assistant Professor                            |
| Department    | EEE  |
| Course Code & | EE16512 Microprocessor and Microcontroller Lab |
| Course Name   |  |

|      |  | Excellent | Very<br>Good | Good | Satisfactory | Poor |
|------|--|-----------|--------------|------|--------------|------|
| S.No | Parameter  | 5         | 4            | 3    | 2            | 1    |
| 1.   | Is the course relevant for the program?  |           |              | 5    |              |      |
| 2.   | Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?                            |           |              | 5    |              |      |
| 3.   | Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?       | 5         |              |      |              |      |
| 4.   | Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?               | 5         |              |      |              |      |
| 5.   | How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?          | 5         |              |      |              |      |
| 6.   | Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library? | 5         |              |      |              |      |
| 7.   | How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?                                     | 5         |              |      |              |      |
| 8.   | Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?                                 | 5         |              |      |              |      |
| 9.   | Is the course duration adequate?   | 5         |              |      |              |      |
| 10.  | Overall satisfaction   | 5         |              |      |              |      |

Signature

D. Amudhavalli



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

#### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

| Academic Year | 2018-19                       |
|---------------|-------------------------------|
| Faculty Name  | Suganthi K                    |
| Designation   | Assistant Professor           |
| Department    | EEE                           |
| Course Code & | EE16303-Electrical Machines I |
| Course Name   |                               |

|         |  | Excellent | Very<br>Good | Good | Satisfactory | Poor |
|---------|--|-----------|--------------|------|--------------|------|
| S.No    | Parameter  | 5         | 4            | 3    | 2            | 1    |
| 1.      | Is the course relevant for the program?  |           |              | 5    |              |      |
| 2.      | Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?                            |           |              | 5    |              |      |
| 3.      | Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?       | 5         |              |      |              |      |
| 4.      | Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?               | 5         |              |      |              |      |
| 5.      | How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?          | 5         |              |      |              |      |
| 6.      | Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library? | 5         |              |      |              |      |
| 7.      | How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?                                     | 5         |              |      |              |      |
| 8.      | Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?                                 | arning? 5 |              |      |              |      |
| 9.      | Is the course duration adequate?   | 5         |              |      |              |      |
| 10.     | Overall satisfaction   | 5         |              |      |              |      |
| Any oth | er suggestions: Nil  |           |              |      |              |      |

bhi

Signature

Suganthi K



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

#### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

| Academic Year | 2018-19                                |
|---------------|--|
| Faculty Name  | Annamalai Thiruvengadam                |
| Designation   | Assistant Professor                    |
| Department    | Electrical and Electronics Engineering |
| Course Code & | EE16402 – Electrical Machines – II     |
| Course Name   |  |

|      |  | Excellent | Very<br>Good | Good | Satisfactory | Poor |
|------|--|-----------|--------------|------|--------------|------|
| S.No | Parameter  | 5         | 4            | 3    | 2            | 1    |
| 1.   | Is the course relevant for the program?  |           |              | 3    | <u> </u>     |      |
| 2.   | Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?                            |           |              | 4    |              |      |
| 3.   | Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?       | 4         |              |      |              |      |
| 4.   | Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?               | 3         |              |      |              |      |
| 5.   | How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?          | 3         |              |      |              |      |
| 6.   | Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library? | 3         |              |      |              |      |
| 7.   | How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?                                     | 3         |              |      |              |      |
| 8.   | Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?                                 | 3         |              |      |              |      |
| 9.   | Is the course duration adequate?   | 3         |              |      |              |      |
| 10.  | Overall satisfaction   | 4         |              |      |              |      |

Signature

Annamalai Thiruvengadam



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

#### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

| Academic Year | 2018-2019                     |
|---------------|-------------------------------|
| Faculty Name  | M.SANKAR                      |
| Designation   | Assistant Professor           |
| Department    | EEE                           |
| Course Code & | EE16501-Power System Analysis |
| Course Name   |                               |

|         |  | Excellent | Very<br>Good | Good | Satisfactory | Poor |
|---------|--|-----------|--------------|------|--------------|------|
| S.No    | Parameter  | 5         | 4            | 3    | 2            | 1    |
| 1.      | Is the course relevant for the program?  |           |              | 5    |              |      |
| 2.      | Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?                            |           |              | 5    |              |      |
| 3.      | Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?       | 5         |              |      |              |      |
| 4.      | Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?               | 5         |              |      |              |      |
| 5.      | How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?          | 5         |              |      |              |      |
| 6.      | Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library? | 5         |              |      |              |      |
| 7.      | How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?                                     | 5         |              |      |              |      |
| 8.      | Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?                                 | arning? 5 |              |      |              |      |
| 9.      | Is the course duration adequate?   | 5         |              |      |              |      |
| 10.     | Overall satisfaction   | 5         |              |      |              |      |
| Any oth | er suggestions: Nil  |           |              |      |              |      |

1

**Signature** 

M.SANKAR