



# Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

(An Autonomous institution affiliated to Anna University)

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

## Department of Computer Science Engineering


Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2018-19

(On Curriculum, Syllabus, Teaching-Learning and Evaluation)

### Feedback Parameters

1. Is the course relevant for the program?
2. Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?
3. Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?
4. Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?
5. How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?
6. Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?
7. How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?
8. Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?
9. Is the course duration adequate?
10. Overall satisfaction

### Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2018-19



HoD / CS

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT  
COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING  
SRI VENKATESWARA COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
PENNALUR, SRIPERUMBUDUR TALUK - 602 117  
TAMIL NADU, INDIA




## Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2018-2019
Faculty Name	K.SRINIVASAN
Designation	ASST.PROFESSOR
Department	CSE
Course Code & Course Name	CS16501 - Internet Programming

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	5				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	4				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	4				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	4				
<b>Any other suggestions: Nil</b>						

  
Signature  
K.SRINIVASAN



## Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2018-2019
Faculty Name	P.Selvamani
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	CSE
Course Code & Course Name	Regulation-16

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	5				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	4				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	4				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	4				
<b>Any other suggestions: Nil</b>						

Signature

P.Selvamani



## Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2018-2019
Faculty Name	Iyswarya R
Designation	Assistant professor
Department	Computer Science and Engineering
Course Code & Course Name	Regulation 2016

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	5				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	5				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
<b>Any other suggestions: Nil</b>						

Signature

Iyswarya R