

# Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

(An Autonomous institution affiliated to Anna University)

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

Department of Information Technology


Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2017-18


(On Curriculum, Syllabus, Teaching-Learning and Evaluation)

## Feedback Parameters

1. Is the course relevant for the program?
2. Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?
3. Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?
4. Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?
5. How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?
6. Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?
7. How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?
8. Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?
9. Is the course duration adequate?
10. Overall satisfaction

## Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2017-18



  
HoD / IT

Dr. V. Vidhya, M.E., Ph.D.,  
Professor & Head



## Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

24.05.18

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2017-18
Faculty Name	R.Saktheeswari
Designation	AP
Department	IT
Course Code & Course Name	IT16403 - IT Essentials

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	5				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	4				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	4				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	4				
<b>Any other suggestions: Nil</b>						

*R. Saktheeswari*  
**Signature**

R.Saktheeswari



## Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

24.05.18

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2017-18
Faculty Name	N. Uma
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Information Technology
Course Code & Course Name	IT16301 Computer Organization and Architecture

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	5				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	5				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
<b>Any other suggestions: Nil</b>						

Signature

N. Uma



## Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

24.05.18

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2017-2018
Faculty Name	S.KALAVATHI
Designation	AP
Department	INT
Course Code & Course Name	CS6660-Compiler Design

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	5				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	5				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
<b>Any other suggestions: Nil</b>						

Signature

S.KALAVATHI



## Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

24.05.18

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2017-18
Faculty Name	S.Jerusha
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Information Technology
Course Code & Course Name	IT16503 Computational Intelligence

S.No	Parameter	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	5				
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	5				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
<b>Any other suggestions: Nil</b>						

**Signature**

S.Jerusha