

(An Autonomous institution affiliated to Anna University)
Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117


Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2017-18 (On Curriculum, Syllabus, Teaching-Learning and Evaluation)

Feedback Parameters

- 1. Is the course relevant for the program?
- 2. Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?
- 3. Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?
- 4. Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?
- 5. How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?
- 6. Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?
- 7. How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?
- 8. Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?
- 9. Is the course duration adequate?
- 10. Overall satisfaction

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2017-18



HoD / EC

Dr. S. Muthukumar
Professor & Head
Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering,
Pennalur, Irungattukottai - 602 117.
Sriperumbudur Taiuk, Tamilnadu, INDIA.



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

23.05.18

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2017-18
Faculty Name	R.Kousalya
Designation	Assistant Professosr
Department	ECE
Course Code &	EC16301 Digital Electronics
Course Name	

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			5		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?		5			
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				

Signature

R.Kousalya



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

23.05.18

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2017-18
Faculty Name	S.Kalyani
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	ECE
Course Code &	EC16301-Digital Electronics
Course Name	

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			5		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			5		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?		5			
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?		5			
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				

Sixaly.

Signature

S.Kalyani



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

23.05.18

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2017-18
Faculty Name	B.SARALA
Designation	Assistant professor
Department	ECE
Course Code &	EC16301-DIGITAL ELECTRONICS
Course Name	

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			5		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			5		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?		5			
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
Any oth	er suggestions: Nil					

Signature

B.SARALA



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2017-2018
Faculty Name	C GOMATHEESWARI PREETHIKA
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	ECE
Course Code &	EC16403
Course Name	

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1	
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			5			
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			5			
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5					
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5					
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5					
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5					
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5					
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?		5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5					
10.	Overall satisfaction	5					

C. Gomate Risa

Signature

C GOMATHEESWARI PREETHIKA