

(An Autonomous institution affiliated to Anna University)
Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117


#### Department of Biotechnology

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2017-18 (On Curriculum, Syllabus, Teaching-Learning and Evaluation)

#### Feedback Parameters

- 1. Is the course relevant for the program?
- 2. Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?
- 3. Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?
- 4. Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?
- 5. How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?
- 6. Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?
- 7. How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?
- 8. Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?
- 9. Is the course duration adequate?
- 10. Overall satisfaction

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2017-18



HoD / BT

Prof. E. NAKKEEHAN, Ni. Tech., Ph.D.
Professor & Head
Department of Biotechnology
Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering
Sriperumbudur Tk - 602 117, Taminadu, WOIA



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

21.05.18

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2017-18
Faculty Name	Praveen Kumar P K
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Biotechnology
Course Code &	BT16701 - Bioinformatics and Computational Biology
Course Name	

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?		5			
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			5		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?		5			
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				

P.K. Proveer

Signature

Praveen Kumar P K



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

21.05.18

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2017-2018
Faculty Name	Hariharan J
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Biotechnology
Course Code &	BY16103 - ADVANCED GENETIC ENGINEERING
Course Name	

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			5		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			5		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?		5			
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?		5			
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
Any oth	er suggestions: Nil					

Lua

Signature Hariharan J



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

21.05.18

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2017-2018
Faculty Name	Nagavignesh S
Designation	Assistant Professor
Department	Biotechnology
Course Code &	BT16405- Bioprocess Principles
Course Name	

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?		4			
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			5		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?		4			
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?		5			
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	4				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	4				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	4				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	4				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	4				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
Any oth	er suggestions: Nil	ı				

S. llayyout

Signature Nagavignesh S



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2017-2018
Faculty Name	Prof. E. Nakkeeran
Designation	Professor
Department	Biotechnology
Course Code &	BY16201
Course Name	

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?			5		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?			5		
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	5				
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	5				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	5				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	5				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	5				
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	5				
10.	Overall satisfaction	5				
Any oth	er suggestions: Nil	1				

Signature

Prof. E. Nakkeeran