


(An Autonomous institution affiliated to Anna University)
Pennalur, Sriperumbudur Tk-602117

Department of Civil Engineering

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2023-24 (On Curriculum and Syllabus) Feedback Parameters

- 1. Is the course relevant for the program?
- 2. Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?
- 3. Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?
- 4. Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?
- 5. How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?
- 6. Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?
- 7. How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?
- 8. Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?
- 9. Is the course duration adequate?
- 10. Overall satisfaction

Faculty Feedback Analysis AY 2023-24



Other Suggestions:

• CE22310-Highway Engineering: Theory & Practices: Field visits need to be arranged for the students to understand the design concepts.

HoD/CE

Head of the Department
Department of Civil Engineering
Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering
Sriperumbudur -602 117 INDIA.



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2023 - 24
Faculty Name	Dr. R. Kunutha
Designation	Professor e HoD.
Department	CIVIL ENGA.
Course Code &	CE22401 A .
Course Name	Structural Analysis I

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	5				L
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?	5				
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	5	7	,		
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	1	2.			
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?		4			,
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?		2 .			
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?		5.		19	
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?		4			
9.	Is the course duration adequate?		4			
10.	Overall satisfaction		5.	х		
Any oth	ner suggestions:				***************************************	

Signature

Q. R.



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2023-24
Faculty Name	RUBY FREYA
Designation	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR
Department	Civil ENGG.
Course Code &	CE:22201
Course Name	Building Planning and Drawing

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	/	***************************************			
?.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?		The subsection of the late of the sec		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	V				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?	/				
5.	Flow is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	~				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	1				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	V				natura de Monatoria en
3.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	/	N AL STATEMENT & STATEMENT AND A			
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	1				
10.	Overall satisfaction	1	tern by tender an enter a nave an			

Signature



Pennalur, Sriperumbudur (Tk) 602117

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM AND SYLLABUS (EOCS)

Academic Year	2023-24
Faculty Name	Dr. M. SELYAKUMAR
Designation	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR
Department	CIVIL ENGINEERING
Course Code &	CE22310 - HIGHWAY ENGINEERING: THEORY AND PRACTICES.

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
S.No	Parameter	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Is the course relevant for the program?	V		.1		
2.	Is the allocation of the credits to the course appropriate?		~			
3.	Are the course outcomes well defined and clear to the teachers and the students?	W				
4.	Is the course content adequate in relation to the Course Outcomes (COs)?		~			
5.	How is the scope for the use of modern / ICT tools and for improved learning?	W				
6.	Are appropriate textbooks and reference books quoted and are available in the library?	~				
7.	How well is the course evaluation scheme designed?	1				
8.	Does the course content enable Participatory Learning?	V	22		THE THE STREET STREET STREET STREET	
9.	Is the course duration adequate?	V			mandemonant minister out to variet annually consume	
10,	Overall satisfaction		***************************************		*****************	

MG 5124 Signature