Q. Code: 807475

Reg. No.

## **B.E. / B.TECH. DEGREE EXAMINATIONS, MAY 2024**

Seventh Semester

## IT18701 – CYBER FORENSICS

(Information Technology)

(Regulation 2018 / Regulation 2018A)

	(Regulation 2016/ Regulation 2016A)		
		. MARKS:	
COUL			RBT LEVEL
<b>CO</b> 1	Relate the fundamentals of computer forensics, laws, report writing and tool investigations.	s in digital	1
CO 2	Assess the investigative smart practices and applicability of concerne investigative tools.	d laws &	2
CO 3 CO 4	Inspect the acquired data, recover the deleted data and manage a case.  Select the correct method to handle the digital evidence and acquire	appropriate	3 4
	certification to build the career in digital forensics.		
CO 5	Create a method for gathering, assessing and applying new and existing specific to the practice of digital forensics.	legislation	5
PART- A (10 x 2 = 20 Marks)			
	(Answer all Questions)	CO	RBT
1	Evaluin the goods of Cylen Forensias		LEVEL 1
1.	Explain the scope of Cyber Forensics.	1	1
			_
2.	Differentiate disk image from disk clone.	1	2
3.	Explain the importance of Chain of Custody form.	2	1
4.	Describe Why are bit lockers so significant to the investigator?	2	2
5.	List down the areas that concern the legal aspects of investigation.	3	1
6.	Distinguish between no-knock and after hours warrants.	3	2
7.	Describe the need for duplication of digital evidence.	4	3
	2 control and need for duplication of disgraph of the need for duplication of the need for the n	-	
8.	Justify the statement "Hash generation in live forensics is not advisable".	4	3
0.	Justify the statement Trash generation in five forensies is not advisable.	7	3
0		_	4
9.	Examine why the write protect interfaces are so significant to the investigator?	5	4

Q. Code: 807475

Marks

10. Compare community cloud and hybrid cloud.

5 2

**RBT** 

CO

## **PART- B** (5 x 14 = 70 Marks)

11. (a) Compute the RAM, Drive and File Slack for the following: (14) 1 3

Cluster with 1000 sectors each of size 4096 bytes, File of size: 0.5Mb is

Stored.

(OR)

- (b) Illustrate the investigative approach: A law enforcement agency, (14) 1

  Cybercrime Unit, was tasked with investigating a cybercrime involving the unauthorized access and manipulation of financial records stored on various devices with different file systems. The investigation required forensic analysis of multiple file systems to uncover evidence of illegal activities and identify the perpetrators.
- 12. (a) Discuss the investigation process flow for the case study: A multinational (14) 2 corporation, XYZ Inc., experienced a cyber extortion attempt wherein hackers gained unauthorized access to the company's sensitive data and threatened to release it publicly unless a substantial ransom was paid. Faced with the looming threat of reputational damage and financial loss, XYZ Inc. enlisted the assistance of law enforcement agencies specializing in cybercrime investigations.

(OR)

- (b) Discuss the importance of Network Time Protocol in the investigation of (14) 2 the case study: A financial institution experienced a data breach resulting in the unauthorized access and manipulation of sensitive financial records. Forensic investigators were tasked with identifying the perpetrators and determining the extent of the breach.
- 13. (a) Illustrate the plain view doctrine, and why does it have such a significant (14) 3 impact on digital forensics? What are three approaches to ascertaining

Q. Code: 807475

5

3

whether the doctrine applies to a specific case?

(OR)

- (b) Explain in detail about various legislated laws that are used for ensuring the (14) 3 privacy of an individual.
- 14. (a) Justify the reason behind the denial: A man was brought to court after (14) 4
  employees at a computer repair shop discovered child pornography on his
  computer. He tried to get the evidence disqualified as the result of an illegal
  search, but the judge denied his motion.

(OR)

- (b) Explain the document analysis procedure for the case study: A financial (14) 4 institution, Alpha Bank, detected irregularities in loan applications and suspected fraudulent activities perpetrated by a group of individuals within the organization. Forensic investigators were tasked with conducting digital document analysis to uncover evidence of fraud, identify the perpetrators, and assist in legal proceedings.
- 15. (a) Illustrate the investigation procedure for the case study: A multinational (14) 5 corporation, Global Tech Inc., experienced a significant data breach resulting in the unauthorized access and exfiltration of sensitive customer information stored in the cloud. Forensic investigators were tasked with conducting a cloud forensics investigation to identify the perpetrators, determine the extent of the breach, and mitigate further risks to data security.

(OR)

(b) Illustrate the investigation procedure for the case study: A multinational technology corporation, TechSolutions Inc., suspected that confidential intellectual property (IP) and trade secrets were being leaked to competitors through unauthorized mobile device usage by employees. Forensic investigators were tasked with conducting a mobile forensics investigation to identify the source of the data leak, gather evidence, and prevent further disclosure of sensitive information.

**PART-** C (1 x 10 = 10 Marks)

(Q.No.16 is compulsory)

Q. Code: 807475

3

Marks

RBT LEVEL

5

16. Summarize the investigation procedure for the case study: A software development company, InnovateTech Inc., suspected that a former employee had stolen proprietary source code and confidential business plans via email before leaving the company to join a competitor. To protect its intellectual property (IP) and pursue legal action against the perpetrator, InnovateTech engaged forensic investigators to conduct an email forensics investigation.

\*\*\*\*\*\*